

#### CMM 3.03 Compliance and Monitoring Scheme

# ANNEX II: Provisional template for the Implementation Report on Implementation of the SPRFMO Conservation and Management Measures

Reporting Member/CNCP: Republic of Korea Calendar Year to which this report refers: 2015 Date report prepared: Click here to enter a date.

#### CMM 1.02 Gillnets in the SPRFMO Convention Area (download)

1. Members shall require that vessels flying their flag prohibit the use of large-scale pelagic driftnets and all deep-water gillnets in the Convention Area.

Please describe how this requirement has been implemented

Korea does not have any distant water fishing vessels that use gillnets

Have any of your vessels transited the Area while carrying gillnets? YES $\square$ NO $\boxtimes$
(If so, was 36hrs advance notice given YES $\square$ NO $\square$ ,
did the vessels operate a VMS YES $\square$ NO $\square$ Partial $\square$ ,
were VMS reports submitted YES $\square$ NO $\square$ Partial $\square$ and
were any Gillnets lost overboard YES $\square$ NO $\square$ ?

 $(refer\ Paras\ 2a,b,c\ \&\ d))$ 

## CMM 1.04 Vessels presumed to have carried out IUU fishing activities in the SPRFMO Area (download)

Did you transmit a list oj	f presumed IUU fishing vess	sels to the Secretariat:	$P \text{ YES} \square \text{ NO} \boxtimes$
If so, did you inform the	relevant flag state before, o	r at the same time as,	transmitting the
list? YES □ NO □			

Click here to enter text.

Were any of your flagged vessels on the draft IUU list? YES  $\square$  NO  $\boxtimes$  If so, did you notify vessel owners and inform them about the potential consequences? YES  $\square$  NO  $\square$ 

Click here to enter text.

Were any of your flagged vessels on the final IUU list? YES  $\square$  NO  $\boxtimes$  If so, did you notify the vessel owners and inform them about the consequences of inclusion? Also what measures were taken to eliminate these IUU activities?

Click here to enter text.

Did you enforce any of the measures described under paragraph 12? YES  $\square$  NO  $\boxtimes$  If so, please elaborate.

#### CMM 2.01 Trachurus murphyi (now CMM 3.01) (download)

Do your vessels fish for  $\underline{T. murphyi}$  in, or adjacent to, the Convention Area? YES  $\boxtimes$  NO  $\square$  If yes, then please complete the following tables and questions:

Table 1: CMM 2.01 Effort Management

Gross Tonnage	GT of Active	Number of vessels	Number of vessels only
(GT) Limit	fishing vessels	actively fishing for CJM	<pre>engaged in Transhipment(s)</pre>
15222	3314+7,765	2	n/a

Enter n/a if not applicable.

Table 2: CMM 2.01 Catch Management

CJM catch limit	CJM catch limit taking into account any catch transfers	Provisional CJM catch total
5500	250	5749

Table 3: CMM 2.01 Data collection and reporting

	VMS implemented and data provided?			Observer coverage level
10	YES ⊠ NO □ Partial□	YES ⊠ NO □ Partial□	YES ⊠ NO □	100

Do you have additional measures that limit the amount of T. murphyi available to your vessels to an amount less than that specified in Table 2 above (refer para 8)? YES  $\square$  NO  $\boxtimes$  If so, please elaborate

#### Click here to enter text.

Did you conduct any research or stock assessments for T. murphyi during this past year and were they submitted to the Scientific Committee? YES  $\square$  NO  $\boxtimes$ 

#### Click here to enter text.

Did any of your vessels land or tranship T. murphyi within your ports and did you take measures to verify those catches? YES  $\square$  NO  $\boxtimes$ 

Is any part of your national jurisdiction area adjacent to the Area to which CMM 2.01 applies? YES  $\square$  NO  $\boxtimes$ 

If so, have you decided to apply the measures described in Para 11 -22 within your national jurisdiction areas? YES  $\square$  NO  $\boxtimes$  Partial  $\square$ 

Also, have you submitted measures in effect for T. murphyi within your national jurisdiction areas to the Secretariat? YES  $\square$  NO  $\boxtimes$ 

# CMM 2.02 Standards for the Collection, Reporting, Verification and Exchange of Data (now CMM 3.02) (download)

Table 4: Participation in SPRFMO fisheries during the past year

Fishing method	Activities undertaken?	Main species?	Number of vessels	Activities observed?
Demersal Drop/dahn line				
Demersal Longline				
Demersal Potting				
Demersal Trawl				
Pelagic Purse seine				
Pelagic Trawl	Y	CJM	2	2
Squid jigging	Y	GIS	6	0
Transhipment				

Were you able to meet the data collection requirements described in paragraphs 1(b) (c)
and (d)? (Being information on fishing activity, non-target species impacts and
$transhipments/landings)$ YES $\square$ NO $\square$ Partial $\boxtimes$

Observer report was revised and resent to Secretariat.

Do you have a national observer programme YES  $\boxtimes$  NO  $\square$ , and if so have you submitted an annual observer implementation report to the Secretariat? YES  $\boxtimes$  NO  $\square$  Note this section could be expanded to include the observer implementation report.

#### Click here to enter text.

Have you implemented systems to ensure that all of your vessels that fish in the Convention Area have an operational VMS system (that conforms to the requirements in Paras 3(b) and 3(c)? YES  $\boxtimes$  NO  $\square$ 

VMS signal collected every 1 hour of polling interval

Please describe the systems you use to verify your SPRFMO fishery data.

National Institute of Fisheries Sciecne (NIFS, name changed from NFRDI) collect fishery operating data and biological information through vessel reporting system as well as observers. All data and information are verified by data manager and through observer debriefing process.

# CMM 2.03 Bottom fishing in the SPRFMO Convention Area (download)

<u>(uowii</u>	<u>ioau j</u>							
Do you fi	sh in the Con	vention Ar	rea using	bottom fish	ing metho	ods? YES [	□ NO ⊠	
If yes, the	en please com	plete the f	following t	tables and q	uestions:			
Table 5:	Managem	ent of Bo	ttom Fis	hing and F	isheries	<b>,</b>		
Bottom fishing assessmer submitted	2002-06 Bottom It fishing	Bottom fishing	2002-06 average annual catch (t)	Provisional catch total for last year (t)			Number of VME encounters above the threshold	Number of monthly reports provided
NO □	NO □	NO □						
	ı prohibited y on Area, exce		-					the
	ı established at are they?	threshold	levels for	VME encou	nters? YE	S 🗆 NO 🗆	]	
Click her	e to enter text	t.						
	jou require y reshold levels				rations w	ithin 5 mi	les of any s	ite
	ı divided you mpacts to VN			•			enting sign	ificant
Click her	e to enter text	t.						
-	ave addition on Area? YES				-	shing vess	sels in the	
Click her	e to enter text	t.						
YES □ N							_	occur?
If so hav	e you provid	ed this info	ormation	to the Secre	tariat? YI	ES 🗆 NO I		
Have you	ı taken steps	to ensure t	that your	bottom fish	ing vessel	ls are able	e to comply	with all

Click here to enter text.

Are you aware of any non-member (or non-CNCP) that has recently bottom fished in the Convention Area? YES  $\square$  NO  $\square$ .

And if so, did you communicate a request to them to cooperate and to consider participating in the work of SPRFMO as a matter of priority? YES  $\square$  NO  $\square$ 

of the requirements detailed in paragraph 24? YES  $\square$  NO  $\square$ 

# CMM 2.04 Minimising bycatch of seabirds in the SPRFMO Convention Area (download)

Are your vessels required to implement appropriate seabird mitigation measures while fishing in the Convention Area? YES  $\boxtimes$  NO  $\square$ 

Do you have any vessels which are exempt from applying seabird mitigation measures? YES  $\square$  NO  $\boxtimes$  . And if so, when was the last time these vessels were reviewed?

Click here to enter text.

Do you require your observers to record seabird interactions? YES  $\boxtimes$  NO  $\square$  If so, are the records in accordance with CMM 2.02 and is the resulting data reported to the Secretariat? YES  $\square$  NO  $\boxtimes$ 

Click here to enter text.

#### **Table 6: Seabird mitigation measures in Demersal Longline**

Biological discharge during shooting/hauling prohibited?	List of Seabird mitigation measures used in SPRFMO Area	Observer coverage level¹	Number of Seabird captures	Approximate seabird mortality rate (per 1000 hooks observed)
YES $\square$ NO $\square$	YES $\square$ NO $\square$			

#### Table 7: Seabird mitigation measures in Trawl Fisheries

Number of vessels that do not discharge Biological material	Number of vessels discharging Biological material	minganon magaras usad	Observer coverage level²	Number of Seabird captures	Approximate seabird mortality rate (per tow observed)
2	0	$\mathbf{Y}$	100	0	О

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Provided as a percentage of number of hooks

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Provided as percentage of number of tows

## CMM 2.05 Commission record of Vessels authorised to fish in the Convention Area (download)

Describe how you take into account the vessel and operator compliance history when considering whether or not to authorise a particular fishing vessel.

The Distant Water Fisheries Development Act(DWFD Act) of Korea requires that the authorities check the compliance history of the vessel and vessel operator before issuing an authorization.

Describe the measures you have taken to ensure that you are able to take effective enforcement actions against the owners of vessels flying your flag should the need arise.

The DWFD Act also provides for enforcement authorities and deterrent sanctions to respond to any infringment of rules and regulations of RFMOs of which Korea is a member

Do you maintain your own register of fishing vessels for the SPRFMO Area and does it contain all the necessary information (as described in Annex 1 of the measure)? YES  $\boxtimes$  NO  $\square$ 

#### **Table 8: Vessel data submission summary**

Number of vessels authorised to fish in the SPRMO Area	Number of new authorisations within past year	Number of revoked authorisations within past year	Authorisation details submitted to Secretariat?	Number of Active fishing vessels*	Number of vessels only engaged in Transhipment(s)*
8	-O	-O	YES $\boxtimes$ NO $\square$	4	-O

<sup>\*</sup> These numbers may be equal to or larger than the figures provided in Table 1.

#### CMM 2.06 VMS in the SPRFMO Convention Area (download)

At this time, this CMM does not have readily measurable implementation requirements.

#### CMM 2.07 Minimum Standards of inspection in Port (download)

Are your have ports able, or expected, to receive SPRFMO-managed species? *YES*  $\boxtimes$  *NO*  $\square$  *If so please complete the following table and questions.* 

**Table 9: Implementation of Port Standards** 

	Designated port list provided to	foreign fishing vessels seeking to use port	fichina	Number of inspections	Percentage of foreign landings /transhipments inspected
YES $\boxtimes$ NO $\square$	YES $\boxtimes$ NO $\square$	31	-O	86	5%

Do you have sufficient capacity to conduct inspections in every designated port? YES $\boxtimes$  NO $\square$ 

#### Click here to enter text.

Do you require foreign fishing vessels to provide the information prior to any landing or port transhipment? YES  $\square$  NO  $\square$  If so, does that information comply with paragraph 11? YES  $\boxtimes$  NO  $\square$ 

#### Click here to enter text.

Is the notification period for a foreign fishing vessels port request different than 48 hours? YES  $\boxtimes$  NO  $\square$  . If so, please elaborate.

#### Click here to enter text.

Did you receive any requests from other Members, CNCPs or relevant RFMOs to inspect particular vessels? YES  $\square$  NO  $\boxtimes$  . If so, please elaborate.

#### Click here to enter text.

Did you encounter any difficulties with the inspection procedures described in paragraphs 17-21? YES  $\square$  NO  $\boxtimes$  . If so, please elaborate.

#### Click here to enter text.

Did any of your inspections discover evidence that a SPRFMO had occurred? YES  $\square$  NO  $\square$  If so, did you encounter any difficulties with the infringements procedures described in paragraphs 22-25? YES  $\square$  NO  $\boxtimes$ 

Have you provided (or received) any assistance as described under Paragraph 26? (Being the development of capacity, facilitation of participation and assessment of requirements for developing members and CNCPs) YES  $\square$  NO  $\boxtimes$